

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	Э.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/692,226		10/23/2003	Mike Sinyard	SPECBIC.134C1	8385	
20995	7590	09/29/2004		EXAMINER		
KNOBBI 2040 MAI		ENS OLSON & E	DEPUMPO, DANIEL G			
FOURTE		-	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
IRVINE,	CA 9261	4		3611	_ ,	
				DATE MAILED: 09/29/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					∞				
		Арр	lication No.	Applicant(s)	7				
Office Action Commons		10/6	592,226	SINYARD ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Exa	miner	Art Unit					
			el G. DePumpo	3611					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 23 August	2004.						
·)⊠ This action							
3) 🗌	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
(closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositio	on of Claims								
5)□ 6 6)⊠ 6 7)□ 6	4) Claim(s) 47-56 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 55 and 56 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 47-54 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application	on Papers								
9)□ T	The specification is objected to by the I	Examiner.							
10)□ 1	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachment	(s)								
	of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)					
3) 🛛 Inform	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/23/03</u> .			s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTC)-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/692,226

Art Unit: 3611

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I (claims 47-54) in the reply filed on 8/23/04 is acknowledged. Claims 55 and 56 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 3. Claims 47-54 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-41 of U.S. Patent No. 6,669,218. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because all the elements of the instant application have already been recited in the '218 patent.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 51 and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bezin.

Application/Control Number: 10/692,226

Art Unit: 3611

Bezin teaches a wheel support having the structure as claimed. As shown in figs. 1 and 2, the device includes fork legs 13 and a damping member (19 and/or 22) in a cavity. The length of the cavities is less than the length of the fork legs due to the projections 21 of the dropouts.

- 6. It is noted that claims 47-50, 52 and 53 have not been rejected over prior art.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel G. DePumpo whose telephone number is 703 308-1113. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 703 308 1113. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel G. DePumpo Primary Examiner Art Unit 3611 Page 3

dgd

9/27/04